Food & Agriculture (1952) **Department.**

MS SERIES.

G.O. NO 770

~~770~~

Dated 5.5.1952

[Despatch Abstract.]

Agri. I.C.A.C - Nomination of members to represent trade in arecanut in Madras State - Direct representation given to ~~South~~ Kanara Chamber of Commerce, Mangalore - Nomination of Govt of India - Republished.

THE KANARA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE,

P. O. BOX NO. 116, MANGALORE-1 (S. INDIA)

TELEGRAMS: "CHAMBER"
TELEPHONE: 128

Date 16th February 1952.

REGD.A.D.

To

The Hon'ble Sri A.B.Shetty,
Minister for Agriculture,
Government of Madras,
Fort St.George,
MADRAS.

Seey.(F.&A.)

Sir,

Sub: Representation for this Chamber on the Indian Central Arecanut Committee.

***

You may recollect that three years back, on the submission of our then President, Sri V.S.Kudva, you were kind enough to recommend Sri U.Ramakrishna Mallya to represent trade on the Indian Central Arecanut Committee and accordingly he was appointed and has been serving on the Committee very usefully. Now the period of appointment is fast coming to a close and new recommendations have to reach the Central Arecanut Committee for appointing members afresh.

It may also be recollected that by some oversight the claims of this Chamber to nominate a direct representative was overlooked. The statistics will show that both in production and marketing, our district is the foremost in the Arecanut trade. The Chamber has a large number of members directly experienced and interested in this trade. It will thus be seen that to make the Committee fully representative, adequate representation should be provided to this Chamber and the trade.

We therefore enclose our representation addressed to the Union Government and request you to kindly forward the same with your recommendations, for which, we shall remain highly grateful.

We also submit that the Government of Madras may be pleased to continue to earmark the trade seat allotted to them, to the representative of this Chamber, as at present, till we get a direct representation on the Committee, in response to our enclosed representation.

Yours faithfully,
For THE KANARA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

Hon. Secy.

2. 15/262

Fd A Dept

Endt No 10087R/52-1 Dt 22.2.52

Referred to the D A for immediate remarks.

22/2/52.

22/2/52

Dpo.w.e. 22/2

To the D.A, Madras
(with copies of pp 1 above & pp. 3-13 below)
R.D. 4/3/52 and

6.3.52.

*Copy of letter dated 16th February 1952 from the Honorary Secretary, The Kanara Chamber of Commerce, Mangalore to the Hon'ble Shri K.M. Munshi, Minister for Food and Agriculture, Government of India, New Delhi through the Hon'ble Shri A.B. Shetty, Minister for Agriculture, Government of Madras, Fort St. George, Madras.

Sub: Indian Central Arecanut Committee-Certain anomaly in the selection of personal--Reconstitution now due--Need for a direct representation to this Chamber.

The Committee of the Kanara Chamber of Commerce, Mangalore, begs to submit the following representation for the kind and sympathetic consideration of the Government of India.

When the question of constituting the Indian Central Arecanut Committee was engaging the attention of the Government of India in 1947, the Chamber had promptly approahhed the Government for a direct representation on the said Committee, as the only representative organisation of the traders in Arecanuts in South Kanara.

2. The Government of India and the State Government are well aware of the fact that the district of South Kanara has the highest production, volume of trade and exports of Arecanuts than any other district has, in the Madras State and that Mangalore is the largest and foremost assembling and marketing centre for Arecanuts. The entire produce of the district, as also a portion of the produce from Malabar passes through Mangalore and is handled by members of this Chamber.

3. Repeated representations had been made to the Government of India as also to the State Government pressing the claims of this Chamber for a direct seat. The Ad hoc Arecanut Committee functioning in 1947-48 and which was entrusted ith the task of preparing the draft constitution of the Central Arecanut Committee, conceding the claims of this Chamber for a direct seat, gave a positive indication to that effect. The draft constitution prepared by the Ad hoc Arecanut Committee was due to be finalised by the Government of India. The latter, when approahhed, referred us to the State Government who were the recommending authorities in respect of nominations to represent trade interests from this State. The Government of Madras promised due consideration of our claims before forwarding their recommendations to the Government of India. But to our surprise and disappointment, the Government of Madras were reported to have recommended the Palghat Chamber of Commerce, Palghat (Malabar) for a direct seat on the Committee.

4. In their Resolution dated 21.5.1949, the Government of India, Ministry of Agriculture, announced the constitution of the Central arecanut Committee, wherein provision was made for 6 representatives of trade interests to be nominated by (1) The Palghat Chamber of Commerce, Palghat, (2) The All India Supari Federation, Mangalore, (3) The Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce & Industry, New Delhi (4) the Government of Madras, (5) The Government of Mysore and (6) the Government of Travancore-Cochin.

5. We concede the claims of the Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry, New Delhi for a direct representation on the Committee. But we are unable to

p.t.o...

4

understand the reasons that prompted the Government to select the All India Supari Federation and the Palghat Chamber of Commerce to be given direct representation on the Committee, thereby ignoring the far superior claims of the district of South Kanara and this Chamber.

6. It is not the intention of this Chamber to have any organisation precluded from getting a representation on the Committee but what we stress is that certain considerations, such as volume of trade, status of the organisation, its representative character, its activities and contribution for the development of trade and the part it plays in solving the various problems affecting the people of the district, should weigh with the Government in deciding as to which of the organisations deserves to be given a direct representation on the Committee. But we are inclined to observe that these considerations had been shelved and direct representations were granted regardless of the merits of the claimants.

7. Regarding the selection of what is called The All India Supari Federation, Mangalore to be given a direct seat on the Committee, a serious discrepancy has crept in. The said Federation, though styled as such, is only an organisation of growers. It may be of interest to you to know that the Federation has not even a single trader in South Kanara as its member. Instead, the persons known to be associated with it, are all growers. It was indeed strange to note that the Government of India should have thought it fit to consider it as a Traders' Organisation and to confer upon it the privilege of nominating a representative which was rightly and legitimately due to this Chamber. The said Federation first nominated its President, Sri M.N. Bhide to serve on the Committee. Sri M.N. Bhide is only a planter and has never been in the trade nor is he connected in any way in the trade. How the Federation and its representative Sri M.N. Bhide could serve the trade interests is a matter for the Government to consider. Strange enough, the Government of India, in their letter dated 22.9.1949 addressed to the Chamber, held that the All India Supari Federation was concerned with the interest of, not only Arecanut growers but also Arecanut merchants. Surely, an organisation cannot reasonably have a dual representative character, much less that of a grower and trader both, and base their claims on such character for the purpose of seeking representation on Committees when it suits them. It is not enough to say that the Federation is concerned with the interest of merchants also. The question naturally arises, how and in what way the Federation could claim itself as a spokesman of the trade interests. The Government of India and the State Government should have sufficient data on the working of the Federation.

8. We may be permitted to ask whether the Industries and Commerce Department of the Government of Madras, which is required to have full knowledge of the working of the various commercial organisations in the State, has ever heard of the All India Supari Federation as a Trade Association. To go still further, we may ask whether the All India Supari Federation and for the matter of that, the Palghat Chamber of Commerce also, are the commercial bodies recognised by the Government of India, to claim direct representation on an All India Body as the Central Arecanut Committee, thereby bypassing the claims of this Chamber which is the only accredited representative organisation of traders in South Kanara and recognised by the Government of India.

p.t.o...

9. We have reason to believe that the Government of India, on our repeated representations, had since realised the serious discrepancy on their part and recognised the superior claims of this Chamber for a direct representation on the Committee. But the, it was too late and they were reported to have felt it, not desirable to cut out at that stage, the direct representation on the Committee. But the, it was too late and they were reported to have felt it, not desirable to cut out at that stage, the direct representation given to the two organisations mentioned above.

10. Realising the need to provide a representation to this Chamber and in response to our demand, the Government of India approahced the State Government with a request to earmark the trade seat which was allotted to them under para 3(ix) of the Government of India Resolution dated 21.5.49 o the representative of this Chamber, with a view to solve their difficulty. In the meantime the Chamber also approached the State Government, who were kind enough to come to our rescue by complying with our request and a representative of this Chamber was nominated by theMadras Government to represent trade interests. We are grateful to the Hon'ble Minister for Agriculture, Government of Madras, who had so kindly responded to the reasonable request of ours.

11. The term of appointment of the members of the Central Arecanut Committee as per Resolution dated 21.5.49 being 3 years, is due to expire on 31st March 1952. It is tie, therefore, to revise the constitution in the light of the observation made above, to provide a direct representation to this Chamber.

12. We, therefore, repeat what we have stated earlier that the trade interests of South Kanara should have a direct representation on the Central Arecanut Committee. It is necessary to bear in mind that the Kanara Chamber of Commerce is the only representative organisation enjoying the confidence of all the traders in Arecanut in the district and has every justification for putting forward its claims for a direct seat on the Committee, as the only proper and duly qualified representative of the Arecanut Trade interests in this district. Besides this, it may be mentioned that this Chamber has the highest membership than any other Chamber in the mofussil districts of the Madras State. Under the these circumstances, it is upto you, Sir, to decide whether any other organisation in this State can claim to be more competent to ask for a direct representation on the Committee, in preference to this Chamber.

The Chamber urges the Government of India to take up this issue for consideration immediately and grant a direct representation to this Chamber on the Central Arecanut Committee by a suitable modification in para 3(ix) of the Government of India Resolution dated 21.5.49.

We remain grateful in anticipation of your kind compliance.

(TRUE COPY)

mkl/17.3

SUPERINTENDENT.

9. We have reason to believe that the Government of India, on our repeated representations, had since rectified the serious discrepancy on their part and recognised the [illegible] claims of this Chamber. For a direct [illegible] Committee. But the, it was too late and they were, [illegible] to have felt it, not desirable to cut out at that stage, the direct representation on the Committee. But the, it was [illegible] and they were reported to have felt it, not [illegible] to cut out at that stage, the direct representation [illegible] the two organisations mentioned above.

10. Regarding the need to provide a representation to this Chamber and in response to our [illegible], the Government of India (produced the usage Government [illegible] a request to evaluate the trade asset which was disclosed to their minor [illegible] of the Government of India resolution dated 21.2.47 [illegible] to the representative of this Chamber, with a view to have their difficulty. In the meeting the Chamber also produced the [illegible] Government, who were kind enough to come to our rescue by complying with our request and a representative of this Chamber was nominated by the Government to represent our trade interests. We are grateful to the Government of Agriculture, Government of Bombay, who has so kindly responded to the reasonable request of ours.

11. The term of appointments of the members of the Central Advisory Committee as per Resolution dated 21.2.47 being 3 years, is due to expire on 31st March 1952. It is, therefore, to revise the constitution in the light of the observation made above, to provide a direct representation to this Chamber.

12. We, therefore, repeat what we have stated earlier that the trade interests of South Canara should have a direct representation on the Central Advisory Committee. It is necessary to bear in mind that the Kanara Chamber of Commerce is the only representative organisation enjoying the confidence of all the traders in areacunt in the district and has every justification for putting forward its claims for a direct seat on the Committee, as the only proper and duly qualified representative of the merchant [illegible] made interests in this district. Besides this, it may [illegible] mentioned that this Chamber has the highest membership than any other Chamber in the Central districts of the Bombay State. Under the those circumstances, it is upto you, sir, to decide whether any other organisation in this [illegible] can claim to be more competent to ask for a direct representation on the Committee, in preference to this Chamber.

The Chamber urges the Government of India to take up this issue for consideration [illegible] and grant a direct representation to this Chamber on the Central Advisory Committee by a suitable modification in para 2(iv) of the Government of India Resolution dated 21.2.47.

We remain, [illegible] in anticipation of your [illegible] co-operation.

(Yours [illegible])

US FOA(R) 7

Letter No. 10087 R/52-1 of 21.2.52

Issue slip 22.2.52

To

The Hon. Secy., The Kanara Chamber of Commerce, Mangalore-1.

Dpd 22/2/52

21/2.

Subj Representation for the Chamber on the Indian Central Arecanut Committee.

Ref Your letter of 16-2-52 addressed to H.M.C (Agri)

CF

—

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter cited together with its enclosures and to say that the matter is receiving the attention of the Govt.

21/2/52 KF

22/2/52

N.S

The draft letter of acknowledgement on preface may be approved. After issue, a note will be put up

21/20

17 9

Agricultural Department.

From
Sri N.S.Sivaraman, I.C.S.,
Director of Agriculture,
Chepauk, Madras.5

To
The Secretary to Government,
Food & Agriculture Department,
Fort.St.George, Madras.

D.2.210/52 dated 7-3-52.

Sir,

14411

Sub: Indian Central Arecanut Committee - Direct representation to the Kanara Chamber of Commerce, Mangalore to represent Trade Interests in - remarks on chambers representation - offered.

Ref: Government Endorsement No.10087 B/52-1 Food and Agriculture dated 28-2-52.

-V-

In Government's letter No.61401 AII/49-7 Development dated 28-6-49, to the Government of India, Ministry of Agriculture, the name of Sri U.Ramakrishna Ballaya, Kanara Chamber of Commerce, Bangalore was recommended for nomination as a member of the Indian Central Arecanut Committee to represent the Trade Interests. Later, in reply to the Government of India's letter No.F.43/14/49-Comm dt 1-7-49, Government informed them, in letter 61401 AII/49-8 Development dated 7-7-49 that the Madras Government had no objection to earmark the Traders Seat allotted to them under paragraph 3(ix) of the Government of India Resolution ~~xx~~ No.43/Ii/48-Com dated 21-5-49, to the representative of the Kanara Chamber of Commerce, Bangalore. The Government of India, accordingly, nominated Sri U.Ramakrishna Ballaya as a member to represent the Trade Interests in the Indian Central Arecanut Committee, vide Government of India's letter No.F.43-6/49 comm.dated 20-7-49 communicated in U.C. No.6655, Food dated 29-7-49.

2.Since 33% of the arecanut production in Madras State is reported to be in South Kanara and this produce passes through the Mangalore Port and as this entire produce passing through that port is handled by the Kanara Chamber of Commerce, Bangalore, the contention of that Chamber to have a direct representation in the Indian Central Arecanut Committee is fully justified.

3.There is also some force in the claims of this chamber of commerce that its rights to have a direct seat in the Indian Central Arecanut Committee are based on stronger grounds than those of the Palghat chamber of commerce, by virtue of the larger bulk of produce handled by the former and the wider interests it represents.

4.I, therefore recommend that the petition of the Kanara Chamber of Commerce deserves to be commended to the Government of India with a strong recommendation upholding its claims for a direct seat in the Indian Central Arecanut Committee by suitable revision of the constitution of the committee. This can be effected by deleting the name of the All India Supari (Betlenut) Federation from the list of those entitled to have a direct seat to represent Trade Interests in Arecanut, as this body of growers cannot be expected to have dual representative capacity. The interests of the growers can be safeguarded through nominations to the four seats, allotted to Government of Madras, for grower representatives.

Sd/.K.C.Naik,
For Director of Agriculture.

/By order/-

Gazetted Assistant. 7.3.52

Dms.7.3
MC
13

RECEIVED 6 MAR 1952 SECRETARIAT TO GOVERNMENT THIRD DEPARTMENT FOOD DEPARTMENT

Fit A Dept

Letter No. 10087 R/52-2 D 17-3-52.

To

The Secretary to the Govt of India,
Ministry of Food & Agri (Agri),
New Delhi.
(with encl).

Sir,

Sub: Agri - I.C.A.C - Nomination of members to represent trade on the Committee — Direct representation to the Kanara Chamber of Commerce, Mangalore — Representation — Forwarded.

I am directed to forward herewith a representation D. 16-2-52 from the Kanara Chamber of Commerce, Mangalore, requesting ~~for a~~ direct representation on the I.C.A.C. It is reported that 53% of arecanut production in Madras State is in South Kanara and that this ~~entire~~ produce, which passes through Mangalore Port is entirely handled by the Kanara Chamber of Commerce, Mangalore. It is also reported that the All India Supari Federation, Mangalore, is essentially an organisation of growers and that as such, it has no right to represent arecanut trade. ~~This Govt. therefore considers that the contention of the Chamber to have a direct representation on the I.C.A.C. is fully justified.~~ I am therefore accordingly to request that if there be no objection necessary action may be taken to amend the Constitution of the I.C.A.C. ~~in order~~ to provide for one arecanut trade representative on the I.C.A.C for the All India Supari Federation Mangalore and the Kanara Chamber of Commerce, Mangalore, respectively. The decision taken in the matter may be communicated

4/2

to this Court at an early date.

15/3/52

15-3-52

15.3.52

8/7/52

xpd. file one only
17/3

Copy to the Secy, I.C.A.E
Kozhikode-1, Calicut,
Copy to the D.A with
ref to this Lr No. D2.
21818/52, dt 7-3-52
5.20pm
17/3
D174
(R.L 16-4-52)

27266

No.F.17-7/52-Com I
Government of India
Ministry of Food & Agriculture (Agri)
New Delhi, dated the 29th April, 1952.

RESOLUTION

For existing clause ix of paragraph 3 of the Resolution of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Agriculture No.F.43-11/48-Comm., dated the 21st May, 1948, constituting the Indian Central Arecanut Committee, substitute the following:-

"Seven persons representing the trade in arecanut, one each being nominated by the Governments of Madras, Mysore and of Travancore-Cochin (one only), the All India Supari (Betel-nut) Federation, the Federation of the Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry, the Palghat Chamber of Commerce, and the Kanara Chamber of Commerce."

(S.D. Udhrain)
Under Secretary.

To

The Publisher,
Gazette of India, New Delhi.

"Sant"

P.T.O.

14

Ordered that a copy of the Resolution be communicated to all the State Governments; the Vice President, I.C.A.R.; A.M.A. to the Govt. of India; Director, I.A.R.I., All the Ministries of the Govt. of India; Cabinet Sectt; Parliament Sectt; Director, Sectt. Training School; Planning Commission; Deptt. of Economic Affairs; Military Secy. to the President; Secretary to the President; Deptt. of Parliamentary Affairs; All India Supari Federation, Light House Hill, Mangalore; Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry, 28, Ferozeshah Road, New Delhi; the Palghat Chamber of Commerce, Palghat; and the Kanara Chamber of Commerce, P.O. Box No.116, Mangalore.

Ordered also that the Resolution be published in the Gazette for general information.

Published on page 6040 of F.S.G.G.
Part II of 14th May 1952

FOA(P)

Subject: Agriculture - I.C.A. Committee - Nomination of members to represent trade in rice cannot in Madras State - Direct representation given to Kanara Chamber of Commerce, Mangalore - Resolution of Govt. of India - Republished.

Read the following: G.O. Ms. No. 3139 Dev. dated 11.6.49

Read also: From the Govt. of India, Ministry of FOA (Agri), Resolution No. F. 17-7/52 - Com. I dated 29.4.52

G.O. Ms. No 770 FOA dt 5.5.52

Ordered

The Resolution of the Govt. of India, Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Agri.) No. F. 17-7/52-Com. I dated the 29th April 1952 will be republished in the Fort St. George Gazette.

Appendix

[as on p 13 of]

Copy to S.G.P. for publn in F.S.G.G.
" D.I.S.C.
" Bd. Revn (S)
" P.U (S&P)
" Govt of Madras & S. Kanara
25/6/52 Secy, Kanara Chamber of Commerce, Mangalore.

17

No.F.17-7/52-Com I
Government of India
Ministry of Food & Agriculture (Agri)
New Delhi, dated the 29th April, 1952.

From

Shri S.D. Udhrain,
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

The Secretary,
Indian Central Arecanut Committee,
Calicut.

Subject:- I.C.A.C. - Direct representation to the Kanara Chamber of Commerce, Mangalore, Grant of.....
.....

Sir,

28069

I am directed to enclose a copy of this Ministry Resolution No. F.17-7/52-Com.I dated the 25th April, 1952 and to request that necessary amendments in pursuance thereof may kindly be made in Rule 1 of the Rules and Regulations of the Committee.

Yours faithfully,

S-

(S.D. Udhrain)
Under Secretary.

Copy forwarded for information to

1. The Secretary to the Govt. of Madras, Food and Agriculture Department, Madras with reference to his letter No.10087-R/52-2, dated the 17th March, 1952.

2. The Honorary Secretary, Kanara Chamber of Commerce, P.O. Box No.116, Mangalore, with reference to his letter dated the 12th March, 1952, addressed to the Hon'ble Minister for Food & Agriculture, Govt. of India. The Government of India have been pleased to give the Chamber direct representationon the Indian Central Arecanut Committee. A further communication in this behalf will be sent to by the Secretary, Indian Central Arecanut Committee in due course.

By order,

Under Secretary.

FAA (R)

May be lodged after perusal

Added to (X)

8.5.52 7.5.52 12.5.52

Food and Agri. Dept. (R)

No 10087 R/52

Sub: AGRICULTURE Indian Central Arecanut Committee - Nomination of members to represent trade on the Committee - Direct representation - Kanara Chamber of Commerce Request for.

Ref: From the Kanara Chamber of Commerce petition d. 16/2/52.
Issued as Endt.No: 10087-R/52--1 d. 22/2/52.
From the D.A.No: D.2. 218/52 dated 7/3/52.

Please see the letter from the Kanara Chamber of Commerce at p. 1 c.f. and their representation to the Govt. of India addressed through this Government at pp. 3-13 c.f. They request that the representation may be forwarded to the Government of India with the recommendations of this Government for a direct representation of their Chamber on the I.C.A.C. and that the Govt. of Madras may continue to earmark the trade seat to the representative of the Chamber till they secure direct representation on the I.C.A.C.

Please see the remarks of the D.A. obtained in the matter on p. 17 c.f. The constitution of the I.C.A.C. as framed by the Government of India provides interalia for the following representation:--

	Total No. on the Committee.	No. allotted to Madras State.
1) Growers.	8	4
2) Deptts. of Agriculture of the States concerned.	7	1
3) Trade.	6	3

From the above it will be seen that out of the six seats to represent the trade, the Madras State has been given 3 seats as shown below:-

1) Government of Madras.	1
2) Palghat Chamber of Commerce	1
3) All India Supari Federation, Mangalore.	1
Total:	3

Items (2) and (3) above were given exclusive representations on the Committee by the Government of India themselves and so this Government had to ~~respect~~ make a nomination in respect of item (1) above. Sri U. Ramakrishna Mallya of the Kanara Chamber of Commerce was accordingly nominated by this Govt. as a trade representative.

In July 1949, the Government of India desired to know whether this Govt. was willing to earmark the traders seat

p.t.o.

to be nominated by this Government to the Kanara Chamber of Commerce. This Government informed the Govt. of India that they had no objection to the above proposal. The Govt. of India accordingly nominated Sri V. Ramakrishna Mallaya as a member to represent the trade interests in the I.C.A.C. The All India Supari Federation and the Palghat Chambers of Commerce nominated their respective Presidents to represent them on the Committee

The tenure of appointment of the members of the Committee other than those who are appointed by reason of the Office or appointment they hold will be three years from 1st April of the year in which they are appointed or at lesser period as may be specified in the notification of their appointment. Now that the tenure of these members after 3 years is due to expire on 31--3--52, the Kanara Chamber of Commerce has urged for the revision of the Constitution of the Committee in order that provision may be made for a direct representation to the Chamber on the Committee. The points urged by the Chamber of Commerce are that

1) their 53 % of the arecanut production in the Madras State is in South Kanara and that this produce passes through the Mangalore port and is handled by the Kanara Chamber of Commerce. Mangalore

2) that the representation of the Chamber to have a direct seat in the I.C.A.C. is based on stronger grounds than those of the Palghat Chamber of Commerce by virtue of larger bulk of produce handled by the former and the interests it represents; and

3) that the All India Supari Federation, Mangalore is essentially an organisation of growers and that it has not even a single trader in South Kanara as its member and that it has no right to represent arecanut trade. The Director of Agriculture states that the contention of the Chamber to have a direct representation on the I.C.A.C. is fully justified and that the claims of the Chamber that it has stronger grounds for direct representation than that of the Palghat Chamber of Commerce has also some force. He therefore recommends that the petition of the Kanara Chamber of Commerce deserves to be forwarded to the Govt. of India with a strong recommendation supporting its claim for a direct seat on the I.C.A.C. by suitable revision of the constitution of the Committee. He has also suggested

contd.

that this can be effected by deleting the na.
Supari Federation from the ~~xxx~~ list of those entitled
a direct seat to represent the trade interest as this body
of growers cannot be expected to have dual representative
capacity i.e. both as growers and traders. In the circumstances
it is for orders whether the D.A.'s recommendations will be
accepted and Govt. of India addressed accordingly.

As regards the request of the Kanara Chamber of
Commerce that this Govt. may continue to earmark the trade
seat allotted to this Govt. to the representative of that
Chamber till they secure a direct representation to the Committee
it is submitted that this may be considered when the Govt. of
India call for necessary nominations from this Government.

13352

This course would undoubtedly raise a furore by the Supari Federation who can nominate one representative for Supari & Kanara Chamber Together

13352

14/3/52

13352

14/3 H&I (Agri)

DEPUTY SECRETARY 14 MAR 1952 FOOD AND AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

A draft letter to India is submitted below for approval.

15/3/52 15.3.52

15352

Issued as letter No 10087R/52-2 dt 17-3-52

[From the G.O.I. Lr no. F. 17-7/52-Com I dt 29.4.52]

As Pl. see that the draft letter is issued

17.3.52

S above Please see the Govt. of India Resolution sent received at p 13 C.F. They have given direct and exclusive representation to the Kanara Chamber of Commerce, Mangalore by increasing the total number of traders' seats on the Committee from six to seven. There is

therefore no need to earmark the trade seat allotted to this Court to a representative of that Chamber. This question is being dealt with in the L.F (GNO 18206 Pt/52). The instruction at p 13 of may be republished in the F.S.G. (S. No. 1000) information of contents awaiting for its publication in the Govt. Gazette of India Gazette subject to orders, a draft after is added

Sd/-
5.5.52

D.S.
5/5/52

(Issued as Corrn. Slip No. 770 Fin.
dt 15.5.52)